

1
2
3
4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
6 AT TACOMA
7
8

9 TROY SLACK, et al.,
10
11

12 Plaintiffs,
13
14 v.
15
16 SWIFT TRANSPORTATION CO. OF
17 ARIZONA, LLC,
18
19 Defendant.

20 CASE NO. C11-5843 BHS
21
22 ORDER REQUESTING
23 RESPONSE, SETTING BRIEFING
24 SCHEDULE, AND RENOTING
25 MOTION

26
27 This matter comes before the Court on Defendant Swift Transportation Co. of
28 Arizona, LLS's ("Swift") motion for reconsideration (Dkt. 84).
29
30

31 On June 28, 2013, Plaintiffs Troy Slack, Eric Dublinski, Richard Erickson, Sean P.
32 Forney, Jacob Grismer, Timothy Helmick, Henry M. Ledesma, Scott Praye, Gary H.
33 Roberts, and Dennis Stuber's ("Plaintiffs") filed a motion for class certification. Dkt. 40.
34
35 On November 20, 2013, the Court granted the motion in part and denied it in part. Dkt.
36
37 83. On December 4, 2013, Swift filed a motion for reconsideration. Dkt. 84.
38
39

40 No response to a motion for reconsideration shall be filed unless requested by the
41 court. No motion for reconsideration will be granted without such a request. Local Rule
42
43 CR 7(h)(3).
44
45

1 In this case, the Court requests a response from Plaintiffs. They may file a
2 response no later than January 3, 2013. Swift may file a reply no later than January 10,
3 2014. Swift's motion is renoted for consideration on the Court's January 10, 2014
4 calendar.

5 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

6 Dated this 9th day of December, 2013.

7
8 
9 _____
10 BENJAMIN H. SETTLE
11 United States District Judge
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22